BEST COPY

AVAILABLE ENTIRE DOCUMENT PEOR ORIG

July 26, 1960

Herioratic Allan W. Dulles, Director Central Imbelationce Agency

Tear Fr. Bullmot

June 120, 1960, your Depty Director requested our district of the surger of the statute provides.

"Retriction and the provisions of any other law, "campage for or or behalf of an efficer or employed of the agency, a privately pened antemobile in any case where it shall be determined that water, rall, or air trade, writetion of the automobile is necessary or expedient for any part or of all the distance between white of origin and destination, and pay the course of spech image partetion."

The Deputy Mirector says that!

subject the above provisions, privately owned actuplet of those employees requestly returned to
the Meanington, D. C. area are normally returned to
althor her fork or Delibers ports. In many cases the
avenued le arrives at the part after the close the factorists of the part after the close the factorists of the part after the part after the close the factorists of the part and
denn contilled of errival, also some may be no the part and
drive the attendable to sentimely also provided from Meanington,
attendable the applicate is directed, it may be reconcern
that the application of clivering as attendable to exist
particular or lose fork parts in attendable to exist
particular or lose fork parts in attendable to exist
assemble to be delivered at foverment at each

The Deguty Threeter points out your agency a balle! that a gaver of the property of the proper

5-11 3267

which if handled on the spet by the employee, could result in a savings in time and money to the Government; otherwise, the various alements considered in arriving at the "considerable savings" conclusions are not specified. We feel, therefore, it is relevant to note that the salary cost factor covering the time the employee would be absent from his usual duties would be a major item of cost to the Government to be used as an offset against other probable economies.

In view of the above-quoted law and facts, the Deputy Director requests our decision whether you are authorised to issue regulations which would permit:

"(1) employees to transport their automobiles between a post of duty in the Weshington, D. C. area and ports at New York or Baltimore without charge to annual leave; (2) reimbursement to be effected at a standard allowance per round trip in lieu of per diem, mileage, bridge and highway tells, etc., and established on the basis of actual travel expenses incurred not to exceed the cost of transporting automobiles by available common carrier tetween Washington, D. C. and ports at New York or Baltimore."

The round-trip travel of an employee from Mashington to New York, or to Saltimore, cannot be considered travel on efficial business when the sole purpose of such travel is to initiate or complete the transportation of the employee's privately owned vehicle between his duty post in Mashington and his fereign duty post. In that regard, such round-trip travel is not to be considered a part of the travel pursuant to an employee's change of station because the necessary travel for change of station would be completed apart from and in addition to the round-trip travel to the ports in question. It necessarily follows that the absence of the employee from duty for the sole purpose of others, we feel that item (1) of the proposed program is not authorized under existing law.

Concerning the standard allowance proposed under item (2), we believe that, as in the case concerning the absence without charge to leave, legislative sanction would be necessary. We are of the wiew, however, that it would be proper under your current statutory authority to regulate so as to permit payment—as part of expenses of transporting the authomobile—to the employee of round—trip "transportation" letwess was displaced. D. C., and New York or Baltimore, not to exceed the cost of transporting the automobile between those points by "available possesses carrier." Presumably in determining such cost, consideration.

5-14.3267

would be given to those occurredal transportation facilities which are used when an automobile actually is transported by commercial means. The accommodations authorized to the employee for the one-was common carrier travel and the mileage rate for one-way transportation by the employee's automobile incident to pick-up or delivery of the automobile should be in accordance with the usual provisions governing transportation of your employees. The transportation items so authorized would constitute part of the seat of transportation under section h(a)(4) of the statute. Subject to the above restrictions, our Office would not object to your presulgating a regulation incorporating the basic concept of payment to the employee of the round-trip transportation specified in item (2) of the proposed program.

Sincerely yours,

Comptroller General of the United States